

REMARKS

The following remarks are responsive to Final Office Action mailed November 23, 2010 (“Action”). Reconsideration of the rejection and allowance of the claims are respectfully requested for at least the following reasons.

Substance of Interview

Applicants provide the following substance of the interview in accordance with M.P.E.P. 713.04. Applicants wish to thank Examiner Peng for the courtesies extended during the telephonic interviews conducted on January 24 and 25, 2011. In the interviews, the proposed amendments to claims 41 and 47 and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 were discussed. Examiner Peng agreed that the proposed amendments clarified the claims and requested Applicants to submit them in a formal response.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 2, 7-14, and 41-53 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Action notes that it “is not clear what the window really looks like” and that there “is no clear definition for the window.”

Independent claim 41 has been amended to clarify that a portion of a perimeter of a claimed window extends to include a subset of cells of grid within the window, the subset comprising a highlighted cell, an associated element of a first data set, and an associated element of a second data set. Support for these amendments may be found at least in Figure 2 of the application as filed. *See* US 2005/0235321. Similar amendments have been made to independent claim 47. The remaining claims that were rejected depend from either claim 41 or 47. Applicants respectfully submit that these amendments clarify a definition of the window recited in the rejected claims, and request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned should it be deemed necessary to facilitate prosecution of the application.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Date: February 23, 2011

By: Christopher M. Swickhamer
Christopher M. Swickhamer
Registration No. 59,853
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: 312-463-5000
Facsimile: 312-463-5001